First connectomics challenge in neuronal cultures Crowdsourcing network reconstruction

Javier G. Orlandi

Dept. ECM, University of Barcelona

B Universitat de Barcelona

Connectome Workbench 2015

Outline

Neuronal cultures

Model system to study the interplay between activity and connectivity in neuronal systems

Mostly random network structure - dynamics leads to complex effective networks

You can easily manipulate the topology, for example by modifying the growth substrate (spatial embedding)

Develop and test network inference methods (causality) in neuroscience

First connectomics challenge in neuronal cultures

Neuronal cultures



firings

firings

Neuronal cultures





under de services de la contraction de la contra

Dynamics in young cultures



Each burst is in fact a propagating front of activity. And can only originate in specific locations of the culture (nucleation sites)

Dynamics in young cultures



Distribution of nucleation sites



Bursts only originate in specific regions

Only a part of the network is capable of burst generation

Underlying network (through modeling)



Characterize the structure of the effective network responsible of burst initiation

'trivial' structural connectivity gives rise to effective networks with broad-degree distributions

Orlandi et al, Nature Physics 2013

Homogeneous (coated substrate)

Clustered (uncoated)





Each cluster acts as a single unit

Modular firing patterns





'structural' network

Assortative mixing Rich club structure

effective network (from causal inference)



Teller et al, PLOS Comput Biol 2014

before



after



Evolution of the effective network after node removal (laser ablation)

Network inference

Indirect access to the network structure (from the dynamics)

Identify causal interactions in time series

- Correlation
- Mutual information
- Granger causality
- Transfer entropy

Given sets of interdependent variables X and Y, it is said that "Y G-causes X" if, in an appropriate statistical sense, Y assists in predicting the future of X beyond the degree to which X already predicts its own future.



Barnett, PRL 2009

Transfer Entropy



$$T_{J \to I} = \sum p(i_{n+1}, i_n^{(k)}, j_n^{(l)}) \log \frac{p(i_{n+1}|i_n^{(k)}, j_n^{(l)})}{p(i_{n+1}|i_n^{(k)})}$$

Generalized Transfer Entropy

$$GTE_{J \to I} = \sum P(i_n, i_{n-1}^{(k)}, j_{n-1+S}^{(k)} | g_n < \tilde{g}) \log \frac{P(i_n | i_{n-1}^{(k)}, j_{n-1+S}^{(k)}, g_n < \tilde{g})}{P(i_n | i_{n-1}^{(k)}, g_n < \tilde{g})}$$

Developed to deal with the singularities of culture dynamics

Fluorescence imaging Bursting dynamics Low acquisition speeds Low signal to noise ratio Light scattering



Stetter et al, PLOS Comput Biol 2012

Purely excitatory networks

From the causal interactions, obtain the underlying network

Generalized Transfer Entropy

Extended to deal with inhibition



Also possible to infer neuronal type (excitatory/inhibitory)

Orlandi et al, PLOS ONE 2014

Generalized Transfer Entropy



How good are the reconstructions?

AUC ~ 0.89

Still room for improvement! A lot actually...

Also, do not trust any single method (for causal inference)



Spend many years, (or many PhDs) improving the techniques

Or rather outsource it





Challenges to stimulate research across different fields

Launch the first (of a series) of online challenges in connectomics

Infer the network structure of neuronal cultures based solely on simulated calcium fluorescence imaging data

Provided: Fluorescence traces of 1000 neurons Neuronal positions

10 sample datasets with known-truth topology with different conditions (signal to noise ratio, framerate, ...)

Participants asked to predict the structural topology of two different networks with unknown topology - ranked based on AUC performance

Challenge networks



Challenge overview

Challenge overview

https://www.kaggle.com/c/connectomics/data

Deep CNN for Time Series Correlation Measurement 28 days ago

Fast Matlab code to get a score of .93985 30 days ago

What's in the data?

Validation and test data include time series of activities of neurons "extracted" from simulated calcium fluorescence imaging data. The neurons are arranged on a flat surface simulating a neural culture and you get the coordinates of each neuron. The model takes into account light scattering effects. [Learn more...]

For "training" data, the network connectivity is also provided.

What am I predicting?

The network connectivity for the validation (valid) and test data.

How do I get started?

We provide a sample submission corresponding to the Correlation benchmark, sample code and tutorial material. See also our frequently asked questions.

File types

- "fluorescence" files (type F): These are the time series of neural activities obtained from fluorescence signals. The neurons are in columns and the rows are time ordered samples. The signals are sampled at 20ms intervals.
- "networkPosition" files (type P): Each row represents a neuron. First column = X position; second column = Y position. The neurons span a 1mm² square area.

Challenge evolution

https://www.kaggle.com/c/connectomics/leaderboard/private

CONNECTOMICS

Wednesday, February 5, 2014

\$3,000 • 144 teams

Monday, May 5, 2014

숤

Finished

Dashboard

N N

Private Leaderboard - CONNECTOMICS

 $\sim 20\%$ performance increase over standard techniques (in 3 months)

Challenge final scores

#	∆1w	Team Name ‡ model uploaded * in the money	Score 🕑	Entries	Last Submission UTC (Best – Last Submission)
1	↑ 6	AAAGV 🗈 ‡ *	0.94161	145	Mon, 05 May 2014 20:50:39
2	↑3	Matthias Ossadnik *	0.94102	71	Mon, 05 May 2014 19:27:34 (-0h)
3	↓2	Ildefons Magrans ‡ *	0.94063	21	Sun, 04 May 2014 13:47:26 (-0.3h)
4	↓2	Lukasz 8000	0.93956	101	Mon, 05 May 2014 15:54:48 (-18.1h)
5	↓2	Lejlot & Rafal 💵	0.93826	97	Mon, 05 May 2014 15:39:39 (-20.9h)
6	_	Sium	0.93711	28	Mon, 05 May 2014 21:45:26 (-0.2h)
7	ţЗ	Alexander N & vopern 🔎	0.93666	7	Sat, 03 May 2014 23:46:07
8	_	gaucho81	0.93385	43	Sun, 04 May 2014 21:48:37 (-30.2h)
9	↑8	killertom	0.93011	13	Mon, 05 May 2014 12:50:08
10	new	dhanson	0.92885	6	Mon, 05 May 2014 21:02:20
11	↓2	DJMN 🕮	0.92609	20	Mon, 05 May 2014 19:44:13
12	↓2	Gideon & Alex 🔎	0.92420	48	Mon, 05 May 2014 16:16:57 (-19.7h)
13	Ļ1	Sandro	0.92039	18	Mon, 05 May 2014 23:15:48 (-8.7h)
14	↑5	Selfish Gene	0.92039	20	Sun, 04 May 2014 16:38:44
15	↑7	Nitai Dean	0.91945	3	Mon, 05 May 2014 12:43:11

Preprocessing of fluorescence signals

Feature extraction

Dimensionality reduction

Classification techniques

Post-challenge

Analysis of methods used by the participants:

Deep convolutional neural networks State selection Multivariate logistic regression of inferred spike trains Inverse covariance matrix Random forests and gradient boosting machines Network deconvolution

• • •

Checking for robustness of the methods Pool together the different approaches (wisdom of crowds)

Code from the winning teams is publicly available with open sources licenses (and all the data associated with the challenge) http://www.kaggle.com/c/connectomics

Conclusions

Neuronal cultures

Useful tool to test concepts and properties related to the connectome in a well controlled environment

Challenges

A different approach to collaborative research

Acknowledgments

Isabelle Guyon, ChaLearn, California, USA

Alexander Statnikov, New York University, Langome Medical Center, NY, USA Bisakha Ray, New York University, Langome Medical Center, NY, USA Mehreen Saeed, Department of Computer Science, FAST, National University of Computer & Emerging Sciences, Lahore Campus, Pakistan